Dec 03 92

25

26

27

28

action solely in his official capacity.

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California 2 CARLOS RAMIREZ, **Deputy Attorney General** 3 300 South Spring Street, 5th Floor, North Tower Los Angeles, Culifornia 90013 4 Telephone: (213) 897-2558 5 Attorneys for Complainant 6 BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY 7 MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 In the Matter of the Accusution No. D-3873 Against: 10 LASZLO I. BELENYESSY, M.D. STIPULATED SETTLEMENT 11 12732 Washington Blvd., #C AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER Los Angeles, CA 90066 12 Physician's and Surgeon's 13 Certificate No. A-32670. 14 Respondent. 15 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-16 entitled proceedings that the following matters are true: 17 An accusation, case number D-3873, is currently pending against Laszlo I. 18 Belenyessy, M.D. (hereinafter the "respondent"), said accusation having been filed with the Board 19 on November 30, 1988. 20 2. The accusation, together with all other statutorily required documents, was duly 21 served on the respondent on March 20, 1988. A copy of accusation number D-3873 is attached 22 as Attachment "A" and hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth at this point. 23 The complainant, Kenneth Wagstaff, is the Executive Director of the Division of 3. 24 Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California (hereinafter the "Board") and brought this

4. At all times relevant herein, respondent has been licensed by the Medical Board

of California under physician's and surgeon's certificate number A-32670.

- 5. The respondent has retained William H. Moore, Jr., Moore and Dailey, 132 Ave. Buena Ventura, San Clemente, California 92672 to act as his counsel in this matter.
- 6. The respondent and his attorney have fully discussed the charges contained in accusation number D-3873, and the respondent has been fully advised regarding his legal rights and the effects of this stipulation.
- 7. Respondent hereby agrees to withdraw the Notice of Defense and Request for Hearing filed in Accusation case number D-3873.
- 8. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily waives his right to a hearing on the charges and allegations contained in Accusation case number D-3873, and further, respondent agrees to waive his right to reconsideration, judicial review and any and all other rights which may be accorded him by the Administrative Procedure Act and other laws of the State of California, excepting his right to petition for reinstatement of his certificate pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2307.
- 9. Any and all admissions of fact and conclusions of law contained in this stipulation are made exclusively for the purposes of settlement and compromise of this proceeding and any future proceedings between the Division and respondent and shall not be deemed to be admissions for any purpose in any other administrative, civil, or criminal action, forum or proceedings.
- 10. Except as otherwise provided for herein, respondent neither admits nor denies the allegations in Accusation number D-3873, but for the purposes of settlement and compromise of this proceeding, and to avoid the costs of further litigation, respondent stipulates and agrees that the Division has jurisdiction to enter into the terms and conditions of this stipulation pursuant to section 2234 of the Business and Professions Code.
- 11. Respondent, for personal reasons, wishes to retire from his medical practice and move away from the State of California, and is in the process of selling his practice to another

Dec 03 92

1 |

physician. Respondent therefore desires and agrees to surrender his physician's and surgeon's certificate to the Board, thereby relinquishing his right to practice medicine in the State of California. The parties do hereby agree that, in order to provide respondent ample opportunity to coordinate the transfer of his medical practice, including his patients, to the care of another physician who wishes to purchase his practice, that the effective date of said surrender shall not be prior to August 30, 1993.

- 12. Respondent specifically waives the renewal provisions of Business and Professions Code sections 2422, 2427, and 2435 and agrees that he will not apply to the Division to have his certificate renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated. Respondent further agrees that he will not apply for a new certificate for at least three (3) years after the effective date of this decision and that any such application shall be deemed a petition for reinstatement of the certificate and treated according to the provisions of Business and Professions Code section 2307 or any similar section that is in effect at the time of such an application.
- 13. Respondent expressly agrees that should he in the future petition for reinstatement of his certificate, the allegations set forth in all of the Causes for Disciplinary Action set forth in Accusation D-3873 shall be deemed admitted as being true and correct for the purposes of said petition for reinstatement. Further, for the purposes of preservation of evidence, the hereinafter described documents, reports, letters, medical records and other records, may be introduced as direct evidence at the reinstatement hearing and may be considered in deciding whether the certificate should be reinstated:
- (1) Accusation package; (2) License Certification; (3) CV's of Experts; (4) Medical Records of Complaining Patients; (5) Expert opinions including review reports of said patients; and (6) any and all other documents now contained in the file of Accusation D-3873.

The above-described documents, clearly labeled, shall be submitted to the Division for inclusion in respondent's file immediately after the adoption of this stipulation as the Division's decision in this matter.

1 |

- 14. In consideration for the above, the Division agrees to accept the surrender of respondent's physician's and surgeon's certificate No. A-32670 upon the terms and conditions specified above.
- 15. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the terms outlined herein are null and void and in no way binding upon the parties hereto unless and until this stipulation is adopted by the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California, as its decision in this matter.

DATED: 12/20/92

DANIEL E. LUNGREN Attorney General of the State of California

CARLOS RAMIREZ
Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

DATED: 6 Dec. 1992

WILLIAM H. MOORE, JR., ESQ.
Attorney for Respondent

I HEREBY CERTIFY, that I have read this Stipulation in its entirety, that my attorney of record has fully explained the terms therein, that I fully understand the same, and that I voluntarily agree to them.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I affix my signature this 5<sup>M</sup> day of December 1992 at Los Augelts California.

LASZLO I. BELENWESSY, M.D.

•

გ

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The above Stipulation in case number D-3873 is hereby adopted and shall become the decision of the Medical Board of California, Division of Medical Quality effective August 30, 1993.

DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

By:

THERESA L.

Secretary

## **EXHIBIT A**

1	JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General of the State of California	
2	CARLOS RAMIREZ,	
3	Deputy Attorney General 3580 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 500	
4	Los Angeles, California 90010 Telephone: (213) 736-2071	
5	Attorneys for Complainant	
6		
7		
8	BEFORE THE	
9	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation ) NO. D-3873 Against:	
12	) ACCUSATION	
13	LASZLO ISTVAN BELENYESSY, M.D. ) 2901 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 435	
14	Santa Monica, CA 90403	
15	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No.A032670	
16	Respondent.	
17	•	
18	Complainant, Kenneth Wagstaff, alleges as follows:	
19	1. He is the Executive Director of the Board of Medical	
20	Quality Assurance of the State of California (hereinafter	
21	referred to as the "board") and makes and files this Accusation	
22	solely in his official capacity.	
23	2. On or about July 31, 1978, respondent Laszlo Istvan	
24	Belenyessy (hereinafter referred to as "respondent") was issued	
25	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A032670 by the	
26	board. Respondent was at all times mentioned herein, and now is,	
27	licensed to practice medicine in the State of California.	

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 4. Sections 2220 and 2234 of the Code together provide, in pertinent part, that the Division of Medical Quality of the board may take action against the holder of a Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate who is guilty of unprofessional conduct.
- 5. Section 2234, subdivision (b) of the code provides that gross negligence constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- 6. Section 2234, subdivision (c) of the code provides that repeated acts of negligence constitute unprofessional conduct.
- 7. Section 2234, subdivision (d) of the code provides that incompetence constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- 8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 2234, subdivisions (b), (c) and (d) of the code in that he has engaged in unprofessional conduct as follows:

<sup>1.</sup> Unless otherwise specified, all references are to the Business and Professions Code.

## Daniel M.2/

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

- (1) Commencing on or about November 21, 1984, and continuing to January 1986, Daniel M., was respondent's patient and under his care for candida albicans, paroxysmal atrial tachycardia, pneumonitis, multiple food allergies, chronic constipation, tired syndrome, palpitations, and cardiac arrhythmia.
- (2) Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct as defined in Section 2234, subsection (b) of the code in that the treatment Daniel M.'s ailments, with bio-water and celginate, a treatment which is unrecognized, constitutes gross negligence.
- (3) Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct as defined in Section 2234, subsection (b) of the code in that his treatment of Daniel M., with thyroid medication, without medical indication, constitutes gross negligence.

<sup>26</sup> 

Initials will be used in place of full name of the patients. The patients full names will be furnished upon respondent's request for discovery.

- (4) Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct as defined in Section 2234, subsection (b) of the code in that the prescribing of nizoral to Daniel M., without medical indication, constitutes gross negligence.
- (5) Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct as defined in Section 2234, subdivision (d) of the code in that the treatment of Daniel M.'s, tired syndrome with repeat injections of B-12, B-1, B-6, and kutapressin constitutes negligence and/or incompetence.
- (6) Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct defined in Section 2234, subdivision (d) of the code, in that his failure to utilize an electrocardiogram, a treadmill or a holter monitor in the treatment Daniel M.'s arrhythmia constitutes negligence and/or incompetence.
- (7) Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct as defined in Section 2234, subdivision (d) of the code in that in his treatment of

27 1 / /

Daniel M.'s arrhythmias with an injection of thyroid extract constitutes negligence and/or incompetence.

- (8) Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct as defined in Section 2234, subdivision (d) of the code in that his failure to adequately pursue his examination of Daniel M., to determine the cause of Daniel M.'s, quaiac positive stool constitutes negligence and/or incompetence.
- unprofessional conduct as defined in Section 2234, subsection (d) of the code, in that he negligently failed to maintain current and accurate records of his medical diagnosis and treatment of Daniel M.'s medical condition during the course of his treatment.
- B. Patricia B.
  - (1) On or about June 30, 1986,
    Patricia B., was respondent's patient
    and under his care for a depleted
    immune system and/or trigeminal
    neuralgia.

- (2) Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct as defined in section 2234, subsection (b) of the code in that his treatment of Patricia B.'s ailments with an IV of Vitamin "C" constitutes gross negligence.
- C. Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct as defined in Section 2234, subsection (c) of the code in that in his medical treatment of Daniel M., and Patricia B., he committed repeated acts of negligence as set forth in subsections 8A(2), 8A(3), 8A(4), 8A(5), 8A(6), 8A(7), 8A(8), 8A(9) and 8B(2).

WHEREFORE, complainant prays that the Division of Medical Quality hold a hearing on the matters alleged herein and following said hearing, issue a decision:

- 1. Suspending or revoking Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A032670 heretofore issued to Laszlo Istvan Belenyessy, M.D.; and
- 2. Taking such other and further action as it deems proper.

DATED: _	November 30, 1988
_	1/1 0
	Wy Law W
	KENNETH WAGSTAFF Executive Director
	Division of Medical Quality
	Board of Medical Quality Assurance
	State of California

Complainant

7 C:@Carlos@Laszlo